



Proxy Voting Policy

Income Research + Management's (IR+M) policy regarding proxy voting (the Proxy Policy) consists of (1) the statement of policy, (2) identification of the person(s) responsible for implementing this policy, (3) the procedures adopted by IR+M to implement the policy, and (4) the guidelines utilized by IR+M when enacting this policy.

Statement of Policy

The Advisers Act requires IR+M act solely in the best interest of its clients. Rule 206(4)-6 of the Advisers Act requires any adviser who votes proxies on behalf of clients to have written policies and procedures that are reasonably designed to ensure an adviser votes such proxies in the best interest of clients.

It is generally IR+M's policy that each client is responsible for voting all the proxies with respect to the securities held in their accounts. Therefore, IR+M has adopted a Proxy Policy that it believes is reasonably designed to ensure that IR+M does not vote proxies for its clients, and that all proxy materials are forwarded to clients so that they can exercise their voting authority. In the event that IR+M has been delegated the responsibility to vote proxies on behalf of a client, this Proxy Policy addresses the treatment of this circumstance. Such proxies will be voted pursuant to the proxy voting guidelines below. For IR+M Private Funds, the custodian, BNY Mellon, is instructed to send proxy ballots to IR+M. With respect to the IR+M Collective Investment Trust (CITs) Global Trust Company, the Trustee for the CITs, will forward all proxies received to IR+M, as it has legal authority to vote proxies. Such proxies will be reviewed for applicability according to our process and if appropriate will be processed pursuant to the voting guidelines set forth in the Proxy Policy.

Who is Responsible for Implementing this Policy?

The Chief Compliance Officer (CCO) is responsible for the overall implementation and monitoring of this policy. The CCO can delegate any of his or her responsibilities under this policy to another person (the Delegate).

Procedures to Implement this Policy

Client Disclosure

The Advisers Act requires IR+M to provide clients with a description of its proxy voting policy. IR+M takes the necessary steps to ensure that clients are provided with adequate disclosure as to the parameters of the Proxy Policy. All clients and prospective clients will receive disclosure of a summary of the Proxy Policy on Form ADV Part 2.

In the event IR+M votes proxies on behalf of a client, IR+M will, upon request from the client, provide a record of how such proxy votes were cast on behalf of that client.

Administration

In implementing these procedures, IR+M will ensure:

- The appropriate employees are aware of IR+M's general policy not to vote proxies on behalf of its clients, and that any exceptions to this policy are documented.
- Voting responsibility between IR+M and the client is clear in the investment management agreement.
- Any proxies that are received by IR+M are forwarded on to the client in a timely manner, if IR+M is not responsible for voting such proxies.
- Our clients may obtain a copy of the Proxy Policy upon request.

Maintaining Records

IR+M creates and maintains appropriate records to ensure proper implementation and administration of this policy and will preserve such records in accordance with our internal policies.



Proxy Voting Policy

Guidelines

If IR+M is delegated voting authority, it is generally our policy to vote in accordance with the issuer's management recommendation absent countervailing considerations. If we believe the issuer's management position on a particular issue is not in the best interests of our clients, we will vote contrary to the issuer's management's recommendation. IR+M will apply these same guidelines for voting proxies to all such accounts for which it has voting authority.

Conflicts of Interest

A material conflict of interest may arise in the course of IR+M's proxy voting activities. Such a conflict of interest might exist when (1) an issuer who is soliciting proxy votes also has a client relationship with IR+M, (2) an IR+M client is involved in a proxy contest, or (3) when an IR+M employee has a personal interest in a proxy matter. When such a conflict of interest does arise, and to ensure that proxies are voted solely in IR+M's clients' best interests, the CCO may consult the Management Committee of IR+M, as well as legal counsel to help determine how the items of a particular proxy ballot should be voted. In the event such a conflict of interest still cannot be mitigated, IR+M may seek out an independent fiduciary to vote the proxy.

April 2025